

The University of North Carolina at Greensboro



About This Report

About Your Multi-Year Report

For institutions participating in multiple NSSE administrations since 2013, the year of the last survey update, this report presents year-to-year results for Engagement Indicators (EIs), High-Impact Practices (HIPs), and key academic challenge items to illustrate patterns of change or stability. It also provides details such as number of respondents, standard deviation, and standard error so that statistical tests can be calculated.

For more information and recommendations for analyzing NSSE data over time, view our webinar entitled the *Recommendations for Using Multiple Years of NSSE Data*: nsse.indiana.edu/html/webinars.cfm

This report contains three main parts: (a) a page that provides a quick reference to important information about each year's administration, (b) multi-year figures, and (c) detailed statistics. Key terms and features are illustrated below.

Report sections

Administration Summaries (p. 3)	A summary of respondent counts, response rates, sampling errors, and administration details for each participation year.
Engagement Results by Theme (pp. 4-7)	Results for ten EIs and selected individual survey items are displayed, organized under four broad themes. The Academic Challenge theme is represented by four EIs as well as several individual items. The three remaining engagement themes (Learning with Peers, Experiences with Faculty, and Campus Environment) are each represented by two EIs.
High-Impact Practices (pp. 8-9)	Results for six HIPs are displayed. First-year student results indicate students who participated in service-learning, a learning community, and research with faculty, and who planned to do an internship or field experience, study abroad, and a culminating senior experience. Senior results indicate students who participated in all six.
Detailed Statistics (pp. 10-13)	Displays detailed information for results including counts, standard errors, and confidence intervals (CIs) for each measure.

Interpreting year-to-year results

When examining year-to-year results, you may wonder whether observed differences signify meaningful change and whether a trend is indicated. Figures display CIs around each score showing the range of values that is estimated to contain the population score 95% of the time. Upper and lower CI bounds are also reported in the Detailed Statistics section.

For further investigation

The Report Builder, updated with current data in the fall, allows for multi-year analysis of Engagement Indicators and individual items (including HIPs). It also affords the analysis of results by subpopulation.



Administration Summaries

The University of North Carolina at Greensboro

The precision of an institution's population estimates can vary between administrations. An important early step in conducting a multi-year analysis is to review data quality. The values in the tables below were drawn from your *Administration Summary* reports.

Response Details by Participation Year

		Fi	rst-year studer	nts		Seniors										
Year	Response rate ^a	Sampling error ^b	Total respondents ^c	Full completions	Partial completions	Response rate ^a	Sampling error ^b	Total respondents ^c	Full completions	Partial completions						
2013																
2014																
2015	14%	+/- 5.1%	318	239	79	25%	+/- 3.0%	812	677	135						
2016	11%	+/- 4.7%	382	230	152											
2017																
2018	16%	+/- 4.7%	372	255	117	18%	+/- 3.3%	708	561	147						
2019																
2020																

Admin	istration Detail	s by Participation	Year				
	Recruitment		Incentives		Report Sample		
Year	method	Sample type	offered	Additional question sets	identified ^d	BCSSE	FSSE
2013							
2014							
2015	Email	Census	Yes	Information Literacy, Sustainability Education Consortium	No	Yes	No
2016	Email	Census - FY only	No	Information Literacy	No	Yes	No
2017							
2018	Email	Census	No	Inclusiv & Cult Div	No	Yes	No
2019							
2020							

Note: All of your institution's participation years since 2013 (the first year of the updated NSSE) are reported. Years in which your institution did not participate are blank.


- a. Response rates (number of respondents divided by sample size) are adjusted for ineligibility, nondeliverable addresses, and students who were unavailable during the survey administration.
- b. Sampling error gauges the precision of results based on a sample survey. It is an estimate (at the 95% confidence level) of how much survey item percentages for your respondents could differ from those of the entire population of students at your institution. While data with larger sampling errors (such as +/-10%) need not be dismissed out of hand, such results should be interpreted more conservatively.
- c. Count used to calculate response rates and sampling errors for each Administration Summary report. Includes all census-administered and randomly sampled students, regardless of "Report Sample" designation.
- d. Starting in 2017, institutions had the option to flag a subset of students for exclusion from reports. Refer to your Administration Summary report(s).



Engagement Results by Theme

The University of North Carolina at Greensboro

Engagement Indicators (EIs) represent the average student responses to a set of related survey questions. The Academic Challenge theme contains four EIs as well as several important individual items. See pages 10-12 for detailed statistics. For more information, including the items that make up each EI, refer to your *Engagement Indicators* report.



a. Values for Course Reading and Assigned Writing are estimates calculated from two or more survey questions.

b. Extent to which courses challenged students to do their best work (1 = "Not at all" to 7 = "Very much").

c. How much students said the institution emphasizes spending significant time studying and on academic work (1 = "Very little," 2 = "Some," 3 = "Quite a bit," and 4 = "Very much").




Academic Challenge: Seniors

NSSE 2018 Multi-Year Report

Engagement Results by Theme

The University of North Carolina at Greensboro

Engagement Indicators (EIs) represent the average student responses to a set of related survey questions. The Academic Challenge theme contains four EIs as well as several important individual items. See pages 10-12 for detailed statistics. For more information, including the items that make up each EI, refer to your *Engagement Indicators* report.



a. Values for Course Reading and Assigned Writing are estimates calculated from two or more survey questions.

b. Extent to which courses challenged students to do their best work (1 = "Not at all" to 7 = "Very much").


c. How much students said the institution emphasizes spending significant time studying and on academic work (1 = "Very little," 2 = "Some," 3 = "Quite a bit," and 4 = "Very much").




Engagement Results by Theme

The University of North Carolina at Greensboro

Engagement Indicators (EIs) represent the average student responses to a set of related survey questions. Each theme below is represented by two EIs. See pages 10-12 for detailed statistics. For more information, including the items that make up each EI, refer to your *Engagement Indicators* report.









Engagement Results by Theme

The University of North Carolina at Greensboro

Engagement Indicators (EIs) represent the average student responses to a set of related survey questions. Each theme below is represented by two EIs. See pages 10-12 for detailed statistics. For more information, including the items that make up each EI, refer to your *Engagement Indicators* report.







High-Impact Practices

The University of North Carolina at Greensboro

Due to their positive associations with student learning and retention, special undergraduate opportunities are designated "high-impact." The figures below display first-year students' participation, or intent to participate, in High-Impact Practices (HIPs) by year. See page 13 for detailed statistics. For more information, refer to your High-Impact Practices report.

High-Impact Practices: First-year students



12%


2017 2018



High-Impact Practices

The University of North Carolina at Greensboro

Due to their positive associations with student learning and retention, special undergraduate opportunities are designated "high-impact." Participation in High-Impact Practices (HIPs) by year is displayed in the figures below. See page 13 for detailed statistics. For more information, refer to your *High-Impact Practices* report.





Detailed Statistics: Engagement Indicators and Additional Items The University of North Carolina at Greensboro

ottation on garger				Firs	st-year s	tudents							Senio	ors			
		2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Academic Challenge																	
Higher-Order Learning	Mean			39.7	38.8		37.7					42.9			40.3		
8	n			269	308		290					734			616		
	SD			14.8	13.0		14.5					13.5			13.6		
	SE			.90	.74		.85					.50			.55		
	CI upper bound			41.5	40.2		39.3					43.9			41.3		
	CI lower bound			37.9	37.3		36.0					42.0			39.2		
Reflective & Integrative	Mean			38.1	37.1		35.5					41.8			39.0		
Learning	n			284	335		323					754			651		
Learning	SD			12.6	12.2		12.4					12.9			12.2		
	SE			.75	.67		.69					.47			.48		
	CI upper bound			39.5	38.4		36.9					42.8			40.0		
	CI lower bound			36.6	35.8		34.2					40.9			38.1		
Learning Strategies	Mean			42.0	40.2		36.6					43.9			40.6		
	n			253	257		267					694			587		
	SD			14.5	13.9		14.3					13.6			14.0		
	SE			.91	.87		.87					.51			.58		
	CI upper bound			43.8	41.9		38.3					44.9			41.7		
	CI lower bound			40.2	38.5		34.9					42.8			39.4		
Quantitative Reasoning	Mean			26.3	24.6		24.3					29.6			27.4		
	n			274	301		272					750			594		
	SD			17.3	16.2		15.4					17.8			15.6		
	SE			1.05	.94		.93					.65			.64		
	CI upper bound			28.3	26.4		26.1					30.8			28.7		
	CI lower bound			24.2	22.7		22.4					28.3			26.1		
Academic Challenge (addit																	
Preparing for Class	Mean			14.7	15.6		13.6					15.3			14.7		
(hours/week)	n			239	233		259					678			573		
	SD			8.5	8.5		7.9					8.9			8.7		
	SE			.55	.56		.49					.34			.36		
	CI upper bound			15.8	16.6		14.5					16.0			15.4		
	CI lower bound			13.7	14.5		12.6					14.7			13.9		
Course Reading	Mean			7.6	8.0		6.7					8.7			8.0		
Estimated hours per week	n			233	230		257					672			569		
calculated from two survey	SD			6.2	6.4		5.8					6.8			6.8		
questions.	SE			.41	.42		.36					.26			.28		
	CI upper bound			8.4	8.8		7.4					9.2			8.5		
	CI lower bound			6.9	7.1		6.0					8.2			7.4		

Notes: n = Number of respondents; SD = Standard deviation; SE = Standard error of the mean; upper and lower bounds represent the 95% confidence interval (mean +/- 1.96 * SE).



Detailed Statistics: Engagement Indicators and Additional Items The University of North Carolina at Greensboro

		First-year students										Seniors									
		2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020		2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020			
Academic Challenge (addit	ional items, co	ntinued)							_												
Assigned Writing	Mean			44.1	44.3		47.1						70.0			65.9					
Estimated number of pages	n			242	261		269						641			595					
calculated from three survey	SD			54.8	70.5		52.4						80.6			75.7					
questions.	SE			3.52	4.37		3.20						3.18			3.10					
	CI upper bound			51.0	52.9		53.3						76.2			71.9					
	CI lower bound			37.2	35.8		40.8						63.8			59.8					
Course Challenge	Mean			5.6	5.6		5.2						5.7			5.6					
Extent to which courses challenged	n			257	258		263						706			588					
students to do their best work (1 =	SD			1.1	1.1		1.3						1.2			1.4					
"Not at all" to 7 = "Very much").	SE			.07	.07		.08						.04			.06					
	CI upper bound			5.7	5.7		5.4						5.8			5.7					
	CI lower bound			5.4	5.5		5.1						5.7			5.5					
Academic Emphasis	Mean			3.2	3.1		3.1						3.3			3.1					
Perceived institutional emphasis on	n			238	240		264						682			583					
spending significant time studying	SD			0.7	8.0		0.8						0.7			8.0					
and on academic work (1 = "Very	SE			.05	.05		.05						.03			.03					
little," 2 = "Some," 3 = "Quite a bit,"	CI upper bound			3.3	3.2		3.2						3.3			3.2					
and 4 = "Very much").	CI lower bound			3.2	3.0		3.0						3.2			3.1					
Learning with Peers																					
Collaborative Learning	Mean			32.4	30.7		29.8						31.8			31.0					
3	n			297	364		353						758			682					
	SD			14.5	14.0		14.3						14.8			14.6					
	SE			.84	.74		.76						.54			.56					
	CI upper bound			34.1	32.1		31.3						32.8			32.1					
	CI lower bound			30.8	29.2		28.3						30.7			29.9					
Discussions with Diverse	Mean			44.9	41.2		39.6						44.4			43.1					
Others	n			256	264		268						699			590					
Others	SD			14.4	16.5		14.5						15.7			15.3					
	SE			.90	1.01		.89						.60			.63					
	CI upper bound			46.6	43.2		41.4						45.6			44.4					
	CI lower bound			43.1	39.2		37.9						43.2			41.9					

Notes: n = Number of respondents; SD = Standard deviation; SE = Standard error of the mean; upper and lower bounds represent the 95% confidence interval (mean +/- 1.96 * SE).



Detailed Statistics: Engagement Indicators and Additional Items The University of North Carolina at Greensboro

		First-year students Seniors																
		2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020		2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Experiences with Faculty																		
Student-Faculty	Mean			20.1	19.2		20.3						24.1			21.5		
Interaction	n			268	323		299						750			626		
interaction	SD			14.6	14.4		14.7						16.8			14.6		
	SE			.89	.80		.85						.61			.59		
	CI upper bound			21.9	20.7		22.0						25.3			22.7		
	CI lower bound			18.4	17.6		18.7						22.9			20.4		
Effective Teaching	Mean			39.3	38.9		37.8			-			42.0			39.1		
Practices	n			275	313		286						756			617		
Fractices	SD			13.3	12.9		14.1						13.7			13.9		
	SE			.80	.73		.84						.50			.56		
	CI upper bound			40.8	40.3		39.4						43.0			40.2		
	CI lower bound			37.7	37.4		36.2						41.1			38.0		
Campus Environment																		
Quality of Interactions	Mean			39.9	41.3		42.1						42.1			42.0		
Quality of the control of	n			252	246		255						678			547		
	SD			12.3	12.7		12.4						11.9			12.3		
	SE			.77	.81		.78						.46			.52		
	CI upper bound			41.4	42.9		43.6						43.0			43.0		
	CI lower bound			38.4	39.7		40.6						41.2			41.0		
Supportive Environment	Mean			38.1	37.9		38.9			-			35.2			34.2		
	n			234	235		261						673			574		
	SD			13.2	14.5		13.5						14.6			14.5		
	SE			.86	.94		.84						.56			.61		
	CI upper bound			39.8	39.8		40.5						36.3			35.4		
	CI lower bound			36.4	36.1		37.3						34.1			33.0		

Notes: n = Number of respondents; SD = Standard deviation; SE = Standard error of the mean; upper and lower bounds represent the 95% confidence interval (mean +/- 1.96 * SE).



Detailed Statistics: High-Impact Practices

The University of North Carolina at Greensboro

				Firs	st-year s	tudents	6		Seniors									
		2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	
Service-Learning ^a	%			62	57		56					61			60			
	n			253	255		265					705			586			
	SE			3.1	3.1		3.1					1.8			2.0			
	CI upper bound (%)			68	63		62					65			64			
	CI lower bound (%)			56	50		50			-		58			56			
Learning Community ^a	%			42	25 253		16 264					29 700			23			
	n SE			258 3.1	253 2.7		264								582			
	CI upper bound (%)			48	30		2.2					1.7 32			1.7 26			
	CI lower bound (%)			36	19		20 11					25			19			
	%			5	3		2					25 25			18			
Research with Faculty ^a	n			255	257		265					697			584			
	SE			1.3	1.1		0.9					1.6			1.6			
	CI upper bound (%)			7	5		4					29			21			
	CI lower bound (%)			2	1		0					22			15			
Internship or Field	%			76	67		69			-		50			43			
	n			256	256		264					705			589			
Experience ^b	SE			2.7	3.0		2.9					1.9			2.0			
(First-year results: Plan to do)	CI upper bound (%)			81	72		74					53			47			
	CI lower bound (%)			71	61		63					46			39			
Study Abroad ^b	%			52	45		46			-		16			12			
(First-year results: Plan to do)	n			256	255		265					703			586			
(First-year results: Plan to do)	SE			3.1	3.1		3.1					1.4			1.4			
	CI upper bound (%)			58	51		52					18			15			
	CI lower bound (%)			46	39		40					13			10			
Culminating Senior	%			50	45		51					41			36			
Experience ^b	n			255	255		264					700			584			
=	SE			3.1	3.1		3.1					1.9			2.0			
(First-year results: Plan to do)	CI upper bound (%)			57	51		57					45			40			
	CI lower bound (%)			44	39		45					37			32			
Overall HIP Participati	ion ^c																	
Participated in one HIP	%			50	43		49					22			29			
	n			260	257		267					709			589			
	SE			3.1	3.1		3.1					1.6			1.9			
	CI upper bound (%)			56	49		55					25			33			
	CI lower bound (%)			44	37		43					19			26			
Participated in two or	%			28	19		12					62			54			
more HIPs	n			260	257		267					709			589			
more im 3	SE			2.8	2.5		2.0					1.8			2.1			
	CI upper bound (%)			33	24		16					66			58			
	CI lower bound (%)			22	14		8					59			50			

Notes: n = Number of respondents; SE = Standard error of the proportion (sqrt[(p * (1 - p)) / (n - 1)]) where p is the proportion; upper and lower bounds represent the 95% confidence interval (p + / - 1.96 * SE).

IPEDS: 199148

a. Results are the percentage who had done the activity.

b. First-year results are the percentage who planned to do the activity; Senior results are the percentage who had done the activity.

c. First-year results are limited to participation in a Learning Community, Service-Learning, and Research with Faculty; senior results include all six HIPs.